Bugging Is No Joke

of the attempted bugging of Democratic party national headquarters is in keeping with the Nixon Administration's casual attitude toward the issue of electronic surveillance. The abortive espionage, Mr. Ziegler would have us understand, is nothing more than a "third-rate burglary" unworthy of comment.

The press secretary's assessment stands in ironic juxtaposition to the recent unanimous Supreme Court decision declaring domestic wiretapping by the Government without prior court approval unconstitutional. Because the very viability of an open society rests on the legal protection of freely exchanged ideas, any indiscriminate attempt to intrude on the privacy of law-abiding citizens by electronic means has sinister implications and requires investigation.

This is particularly true in light of the prior affiliations of those arrested. All five men have had C.I.A. connections and one is employed by President Nixon's re-election committee as a security coordinator. Another individual, E. Howard Hunt, whose name is listed in the address books of two of those apprehended, has been a consultant to a White House special counsel.

The President's campaign manager, former Attorney General John Mitchell, denies foreknowledge of the raid, and any evidence linking the Republican party to the incident is at this point circumstantial. The Democratic National Committee's suit against the Committee to Re-elect the President rings of election-year partisanship and hyperbole. The question remains, however, by whom and for what purpose the bugging was ordered. Mr. Hunt's refusal to make himself available for questioning, and the Republican National Committee's internal memo ordering those on the payroll to be silent, serve only to fuel speculation about the direction and motives of the act.

A thorough Federal investigation is in the best interest of both political parties and the nation as a whole.

STATINTL

Controlling the C.I.A.

Senator Cooper's proposal that the Central Intelligen Agency share with Congress its intelligence estimates is a logical corollary to the reassertion of Congressional responsibility in the making of foreign policy. The lawmakers obviously cannot fulfill their constitutional function in this vital area with maximum wisdom and effectiveness unless they have access to the best available information.

As matters now stand, the Executive enjoys almost exclusive access to information compiled by the intelligence community. Congress is thus at a serious disadvantage in attempting to weigh important policy decisions, especially when an Administration makes public only selective intelligence data designed to support its policies.

Senator Cooper has emphasized that his proposal is not aimed at C.I.A. operations, sources or methods, but is "concerned only with the end result—the facts and analyses of facts." It would not compromise and it does not seek to control intelligence operations. Indeed, Senate approval of the Cooper bill would represent in a sense a vote of confidence in the intelligence community—at least in its information-gathering function.

The question of control over the vast intelligence network, and especially of the C.I.A.'s clandestine action operations, is raised in other proposals. These include three bills prepared by Senator Case designed to increase Congressional control over C.I.A. participation in foreign wars and quasi-military operations; a bill introduced by Senator McGovern requiring C.I.A. funds to appear as a single line item in the budget; and long-standing efforts to strengthen over-all Congressional oversight of the intelligence agency. The principle of such proposals merits support of a Congress that too long has neglected its essential role in the formulation of United States foreign policy.

STATINTL

BEST COPY Available

Approved For Release 2001/03/04/9-CIA-RDP80-010

ewsmen at House Hearing Accuse U.

By MARJORIE HUNTER Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, June 25— Representatives of the nation's news media accused the Government today of attempted censorship in blocking publication of the Pentagon study of the Vietnam war.

of Newspaper Editors, testified dent of the American News suggrested that further threats Television News Directors. that the editors who decided to publish the secret data "are just as loyal, just as patriotic, as any man in this room or in the White House."

Defends Record of Press

Declaring he felt that newspapers have been "under unjust assault" in recent weeks, Mr. Murray said that he was appearing before the House subcommittee "to defend the rights of the newspaper editor, which, not at all incidentally, are the rights of every American citizen."

He said he felt that the record of the nation's newspapers in reporting the war in Vietnam "is better than that of the executive branch" of the Government.

He noted that the newspaiper editors' society as early as

of Attempting Censorship

1967, had begun to criticize the paper Guild, testified that he constitutional be in the offing.

Government for "excessive sefelt that the constitutional be in the offing.

crecy." He said that the soguarantee of freedom of the press "faces its most serious challenge in memory, if not, indeed, in our history as a continues to hurt his image and continues to hurt his image. Except in tane of declared continues to hurt his image and

John R. Callaham, vice pres-ident of McGraw-Hill Publica-Except in tane of declared war, the news executives told war, the news executives told congress, the Government has no right to exercise "prior restraint" to prevent publication of information in the public interest.

The panel of newsmen appeared before a House Government Operations subcommittee wou can believe what you read continues to hurt his image and his credibility by consistently trying to make the news sound or seem better than it is."

Mr. Murray said he hoped that one by-product of publication of its principal local unmore periodic or continuing resions unanimously supported to the continuing resions unanimously supported be to restore public confidence in the traditional axiom that ment Operations subcommittee what you read its principal local unmore periodic or continuing resions unanimously supported the American Business Press, Inc., suggested the need for more periodic or continuing resions unanimously supported with the American Business Press, Inc., suggested the need for more periodic or continuing resions unanimously supported with the American Business Press, Inc., suggested the need for more periodic or continuing resions unanimously supported with the first principal local unmore periodic or continuing resions unanimously supported with the first principal local unmore periodic or continuing resions unanimously supported with the American Business Press, Inc., suggested the need for more periodic or continuing resions unanimously supported with the first principal local unmore periodic or continuing resions unanimously supported with the first principal local unmore periodic or continuing resonance in the American Business Press, Inc., suggested the need for more periodic or continuing resonance in the first principal local unmore periodic or continuing resonance in the first principal local unmore periodic or continuing resonance in the first principal local unmore periodic or continuing resonance in the first principal local unmore periodic or continuing resonance in the first principa

The panel of newsmen appeared before a House Government Operations subcommittee to defend The New York Times, The Washington Post and other parts of the Pentagon study and to criticize what was termed the Government's excessive secrecy.

J. Edward Murray, president—

J. Edward Murray, president—

Gild of the secret study "will be to restore public confidence in the traditional axiom that you can believe what you read by government censorship of the press," the credibility of both the press and the government's attempt to block the press, "the credibility of both the press and the government's attempt to block the press," the credibility of both the press and the government's attempt to block the press, "the credibility of both the press and the government's attempt to block the press," the credibility of both the press and the government are destroyed.

Was managing editor of The Arizona Republic. He jokingly ton correspondent for The Arizona Republic. He jokingly ton correspondent for The American Publishers; and J. W. Minneapolis Tribune and chair place of; his next job. was man of the Freedom of Inforchief of Time-Life Broadcasting, mation Committee for Sigma chairman of the Freedom of Radional American News
Glect of the American Society Charles A. Perlik Jr., president of the American News
Charles A. Perlik Jr., president of the American News
Glect of the American Society of the Minneapolis Tribune and chair mation Committee for Sigma chairman of the Freedom of Inforchief of Time-Life Broadcasting, mation Committee for Sigma chairman of the Freedom of Radio
Glect of the American Society of the American News-